

## Racah polynomials and a three-term recurrence relation for the Racah coefficients

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1987 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 20 3041

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/20/10/046>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 129.252.86.83

The article was downloaded on 31/05/2010 at 19:42

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

COMMENT

**Racah polynomials and a three-term recurrence relation for the Racah coefficients**

K Srinivasa Rao†, T S Santhanam† and R A Gustafson‡

† Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Madras 600 113, India

‡ Department of Mathematics, Texas A & M University, College Station, TX 77843-3368, USA

Received 16 September 1986

**Abstract.** A three-term recurrence relation is derived for the Racah coefficients or 6-*j* symbols based on a set of orthogonal polynomials, called Racah polynomials, that generalise these coefficients. This relation is shown to be a consequence of the well known Biedenharn-Elliott identity.

The Racah coefficient or 6-*j* symbol has been shown (Srinivasa Rao *et al* 1975) to be expressible in terms of a set of three hypergeometric functions of unit argument as

$$\left\{ \begin{matrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \end{matrix} \right\} = (-1)^{E+1} M \Gamma(1-E) [\Gamma(1-A, 1-B, 1-C, 1-D, F, G)]^{-1} \times {}_4F_3(ABCD; EFG; 1) \tag{1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \Delta(abc)\Delta(cde)\Delta(aef)(bdf) \\ \Delta(xyz) &= [(-x+y+z)!(x-y+z)!(x+y-z)!/(x+y+z+1)!]^{1/2} \\ \Gamma(x, y, \dots) &= \Gamma(x)\Gamma(y) \dots \\ A &= -R_{1k} \quad B = -R_{2k} \quad C = -R_{3k} \quad D = -R_{4k} \\ F &= R_{kl} - R_{kk} + 1 \quad G = R_{km} - R_{kk} + 1 \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

and *E*, determined from the Saalschutzian condition  $A + B + C + D + 1 = E + F + G$ , as

$$E = -\sum_{j=1}^4 R_{jk} + 2R_{kk} - R_{kl} - R_{km} - 1$$

(*klm*) = (123) cyclically.

The  $R_{jk}$  in (2) are elements of the 4 × 3 array of Bargmann (1962) and Shelepin (1964):

$$\left\{ \begin{matrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \end{matrix} \right\} = \left\| \begin{matrix} d+f-b & e+f-a & e+d-c \\ a+f-e & b+f-d & a+f-c \\ d+c-e & b+c-a & b+d-f \\ a+c-b & e+c-d & a+e-f \end{matrix} \right\| = \|R_{ik}\| \tag{3}$$

with  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$  and  $k = 1, 2, 3$ .

The set of three  ${}_4F_3(1)$  given in (1) has been shown (Srinivasa Rao *et al* 1975) to be necessary and sufficient to account for the known symmetries of the 6-*j* coefficient. It is guaranteed that, given a set of six angular momenta *a, b, c, d, e, f*, at least one of the three  ${}_4F_3(1)$  belonging to this set is well defined. In this comment, we choose the set of numerator and denominator parameters (2) of the  ${}_4F_3(1)$  corresponding to  $(klm) = (312)$ , without any loss of generality, to represent the 6-*j* coefficient.

To relate the 6-*j* symbol to the Racah polynomials, defined by Wilson (1980), we make use of the Bailey (1972) transform:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &{}_4F_3(ABCD; EFG; 1) \\
 &= \Gamma(E + F - A - B - D, E + F - A - B - C, F - C - D, F) \\
 &\quad \times [\Gamma(E + F - A - B, E + F - A - B - C - D, F - C, F - D)]^{-1} \\
 &\quad \times {}_4F_3(E - B, E - A, C, D; E, E + F - A - B, E + G - A - B; 1) \tag{4}
 \end{aligned}$$

and replace *c* and *f* by *d + e - x* and *b + d - n*, respectively, to obtain for (1)

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left\{ \begin{matrix} a & b & d + e - x \\ d & e & b + d - n \end{matrix} \right\} \\
 &= (-1)^{a+b+e+d} M\Gamma(a + b + e + d + 2, -2d + n, -2d + x, \\
 &\quad N + 1, N - n + x + 1) [\Gamma(1 + a + b - d - e + x, 1 + x, 1 + n, \\
 &\quad 1 - a - b - d + e + n, 1 + N - n - x, 1 + 2d - n - x, -2d, 1 + N - n, \\
 &\quad -2d + x + n, 1 + N - x)]^{-1} {}_4F_3(-2b - 2d - 1 + n, -2d - 2e - 1 + x, \\
 &\quad -x, -n; -a - b - d - e - 1, -2d, -2N; 1) \tag{5}
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $N = b + e + d - a$  represents the number of terms,

$$0 \leq x \leq N \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq n \leq N.$$

The orthogonal polynomials defined by Wilson (1980) are

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\mathcal{P}_n(t^2) = \mathcal{P}_n(t^2; a', b', c', d') \\
 &= \Gamma(a' + b' + n, a' + c' + n, a' + d' + n) [\Gamma(a' + b', a' + c', a' + d')]^{-1} \\
 &\quad \times {}_4F_3(-n, a' + b' + c' + d' + n - 1, a' - t, a' + t; a' + b', a' + c', a' + d'; 1). \tag{6}
 \end{aligned}$$

In terms of this polynomial, the 6-*j* symbol (5) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left\{ \begin{matrix} a & b & d + e - x \\ d & e & b + d - n \end{matrix} \right\} \\
 &= (-1)^{a+b+d+e-n} \Delta(b, d, b + d - n) \Delta(a, e, b + d - n) \\
 &\quad \times \Delta(a, b, d + e - x) \Delta(d, e, d + e - x) \Gamma(a + b + d + e - n + 2) \\
 &\quad \times [\Gamma(1 + n, 1 + n + a - b - d + e, 1 + x, 1 + 2d - x, 1 + N - x, \\
 &\quad 1 + x + a + b - d - e)]^{-1} \mathcal{P}_n(t^2; a', b', c', d') \tag{7}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 t &= x - d - e - \frac{1}{2} & a' &= -d - e - \frac{1}{2} & b' &= -a - b - \frac{1}{2} \\
 c' &= a - b + \frac{1}{2} & d' &= -d + e + \frac{1}{2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Any set of orthogonal polynomials  $\mathcal{P}_n(t^2)$  satisfy (see, for instance, Askey and Wilson 1979) a three-term recurrence relation:

$$t^2 \mathcal{P}_n(t^2) = A_n \mathcal{P}_{n+1}(t^2) + B_n \mathcal{P}_n(t^2) + C_n \mathcal{P}_{n-1}(t^2) \tag{8}$$

for  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ , with  $\mathcal{P}_{-1}(t^2) = 1$ . Wilson has shown that the polynomial  $\mathcal{P}_n(t^2)$ , defined in (6), satisfies the orthogonality property:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C f(z) \mathcal{P}_m(z^2) \mathcal{P}_n(z^2) dz = \delta_{mn} R h_n \tag{9}$$

where

$$f(z) = \Gamma(a+z, a-z, b+z, b-z, c+z, c-z, d+z, d-z) [\Gamma(2z, -2z)]^{-1}$$

$$R = 2\Gamma(a+b, a+c, a+d, b+c, b+d, c+d) [\Gamma(a+b+c+d)]^{-1}$$

$$h_n = \Gamma(n+1, a+b+c+d+2n-1, a+b+c+d+2n, a+b+n, a+c+n, a+d+n, b+c+n, b+d+n, c+d+n) \times [\Gamma(a+b, a+c, a+d, b+c, b+d, c+d, a+b+c+d)]^{-1}$$

with  $a, b, c, d$  being complex and the contour defined as in Wilson (1980).

The coefficients  $A_n, B_n$  and  $C_n$  are then evaluated.  $A_n$  is determined by equating the highest power of  $t^2$  to obtain

$$A_n = (a+b+c+d+n+1) [(a+b+c+d+2n)(a+b+c+d+2n-1)]^{-1}. \tag{10}$$

By repeated use of the orthogonality relation, in (8), we obtain

$$C_n = h_n A_n / A_{n-1} = n(a+b+n-1)(a+c+n-1)(a+d+n-1)(b+c+n-1)(b+d+n-1) \times (c+d+n-1) [(a+b+c+d+2n-1)(a+b+c+d+2n-2)]^{-1}. \tag{11}$$

We note that when  $t = a$ , the polynomial (6) becomes

$$\mathcal{P}_n(a^2) = \Gamma(a+b+n, a+c+n, a+d+n) [\Gamma(a+b, a+c, a+d)]^{-1}$$

so that evaluation of the three-term recurrence relation (6), at  $t = a$ , yields for  $B_n$

$$B_n = a^2 - (a+b+n)(a+c+n)(a+d+n)(a+b+c+d+n-1) \times [(a+b+c+d+2n)(a+b+c+d+2n-1)]^{-1} - n(b+c+n-1)(b+d+n-1)(c+d+n-1) \times [(a+b+c+d+2n-1)(a+b+c+d+2n-2)]^{-1}. \tag{12}$$

Having determined  $A_n, B_n$  and  $C_n$ , we now use the three-term recurrence relation for  $\mathcal{P}_n(t^2)$  in conjunction with (7) which expresses  $\mathcal{P}_n(t^2)$  in terms of the 6- $j$  symbol. After a straightforward calculation and simplifications, we obtain, on resubstituting  $x = d + e - c$  and  $n = b + d - f$ , the new recurrence relation satisfied by the 6- $j$  symbol

as

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [2f(f+1)(2f+1)(c-d-e)(c+d+e+1) \\
 & \quad + (f+1)(-a+e+f)(a+e+f+1)(-b+d+f)(b+d+f+1) \\
 & \quad + f(b+d-f)(b-d+f+1)(a+e-f)(a-e+f+1)] \begin{Bmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \end{Bmatrix} \\
 & = (f+1)\square(a, e, f)\square(b, d, f) \begin{Bmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f-1 \end{Bmatrix} \\
 & \quad + f\square(a, e, f+1)\square(b, d, f+1) \begin{Bmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f+1 \end{Bmatrix} \tag{13}
 \end{aligned}$$

where we have introduced the notation

$$\square(x, y, z) = [(-x+y+z)(x-y+z)(x+y-z+1)(x+y+z+1)]^{1/2}.$$

The relation (13), which obviously holds only for  $f \geq 1$ , is a three-term recurrence relation in  $f$ . In principle, this recurrence relation can be used to extend the tables of 6- $j$  symbols. Raynal (1979) has obtained simple recurrence relations, valid for any arguments in terms of Whipple’s parameters, though not a recurrence relation in which only one argument changes as  $f-1, f$  and  $f+1$ .

We now show that (13) can also be shown to be a consequence of the Biedenharn (1953)-Elliott (1953) identity for the Racah coefficient:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & W(a'ab'b; c'e)W(a'ed'd; b'c) \\
 & = \sum_g (2g+1)W(abcd; eg)W(c'bd'd; b'g)W(a'ad'g; c'e) \tag{14}
 \end{aligned}$$

which ‘is the key relationship for elevating the study of Racah coefficients to a position that is independent of the concept of Wigner coefficient’ (Biedenharn and Louck 1981). In (14), we set  $a' = a, b' = b, d' = f$  and  $c' = 1$  to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & W(aabb; 1e)W(aefd; bc) \\
 & = \sum_g (2g+1)W(abcd; eg)W(1bfd; bg)W(aafg; 1c). \tag{15}
 \end{aligned}$$

We now substitute the special values of the Racah coefficient on the right- and left-hand sides of the above identity having one of the arguments equal to 1, given in the table of Biedenharn *et al* (1952).

After algebraic simplification we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (2f+1)\{[b(b+1)-d(d+1)+f(f+1)][a(a+1)-c(c+1)+f(f+1)] \\
 & \quad - 2f(f+1)[a(a+1)+b(b+1)-e(e+1)]\}W(abcd; ef) \\
 & = (f+1)\square(b, d, f)\square(a, c, f)W(abcd; ef-1) \\
 & \quad + f\square(b, d, f+1)\square(a, c, f+1)W(abcd; ef+1). \tag{16}
 \end{aligned}$$

A comparison of (16) with (13) shows that, since the right-hand sides of the two expressions are identical provided, in (16),  $c$  and  $e$  are interchanged and the relationship of the Racah coefficient to the 6- $j$  coefficient is used:

$$W(abcd; ef) = (-1)^{a+b+c+d} \begin{Bmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \end{Bmatrix}$$

we must have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (2f+1)\{[b(b+1)-d(d+1)+f(f+1)][a(a+1)-e(e+1)+f(f+1)] \\
 & \quad -2f(f+1)[a(a+1)+b(b+1)-c(c+1)]\} \\
 & \equiv 2f(f+1)(2f+1)(c-d-e)(c+d+e+1) \\
 & \quad + (f+1)(-a+e+f)(a+e+f+1)(-b+d+f)(b+d+f+1) \\
 & \quad + f(a+e-f)(a-e+f+1)(b+d-f)(b-d+f+1). \tag{17}
 \end{aligned}$$

That this identity holds can be seen when the left- and right-hand side expressions are both expanded as polynomials in  $f$ . This establishes the validity of (13).

Our derivation of the recurrence relation (13) is direct from the generalised (Racah) orthogonal polynomial, which satisfies the three-term recurrence relation (8).

The authors are grateful to the referee for valuable comments.

## References

- Askey R and Wilson J 1979 *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **10** 1008  
 Bailey W N 1972 *Generalized Hypergeometric Series* (New York: Hafner)  
 Bargmann V 1962 *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **34** 829  
 Biedenharn L C 1953 *J. Math. Phys. (MIT)* **31** 287  
 Biedenharn L C and Louck J D 1981 *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications* vol 8, ed G-C Rota (Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley) p 110  
 Biedenharn L C, Blatt J M and Rose M E 1952 *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **24** 249 table II (reprinted in 1965 Biedenharn L C and Van Dam H (ed) *Quantum Theory of Angular Momentum* (New York: Academic) p 212  
 Elliott J P 1953 *Proc. R. Soc. A* **218** 370  
 Raynal J 1979 *J. Math. Phys.* **20** 2398  
 Srinivasa Rao K, Santhanam T S and Venkatesh K 1975 *J. Math. Phys.* **16** 1528  
 Shelepin L A 1964 *Sov. Phys.-JETP* **19** 702  
 Wilson J A 1980 *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **11** 690